

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,263	03/10/2004		Robert S. Kowalski	90104.000002	5358
23387	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
Stephen B.			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
Harter, Secr	est & Eme	ery LLP			
1600 Bauscl			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester,	NY 1460	4-2711	2839		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/797,263	KOWALSKI, ROBEI	KOWALSKI, ROBERT S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	January 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-14 is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,15-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $3/10/04$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)				
	· - -						

Application/Control Number: 10/797,263 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 01/16/06 consists of amendments to claim 1 and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "150" has been used to designate both input receptacle (Paragraph 72) and interconnect module (see Paragraph 77). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 65, 82, 85, etc. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

Art Unit: 2839

amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Entire specification and all the figures should be checked for such omissions.

Specification

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Candeloro (6045374).

Candeloro (Figures 1-28) shows an electrical module comprising a housing defining an exterior and sealed interior, a male power output receptacle with a plurality of blades 350, 352, 354 and female power output receptacle 342 interconnected to a female power output receptacle 342. Candeloro further shows a user-actuated switch (see Fig. 12). The blades have terminal endings recessed relative to the connector housing. Figure 3 shows an integral projecting flange with a fastening aperture. But

Application/Control Number: 10/797,263

Art Unit: 2839

Candeloro does not show the power input blades 333, 334, 335 to be recessed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the blades 350, 352, 354 to be recessed since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

8. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Candeloro (6045374) in view of Zeder (5347095).

Candeloro shows all the features of these claims except a relay connected to the blades and a load circuit. Such a feature is common knowledge, well known and widely used in electrical connectors and circuits. Zeder shows such a relay 23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature because this would provide a means to control as is common knowledge, well known and widely used in electrical connectors and circuits.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2839

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot 10. in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

11. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

> **Commissioner for Patents** Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

> Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner

January 26, 2006